	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 22-10964-mg
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	CELSIUS NETWORK, LLC,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	United States Bankruptcy Court
12	One Bowling Green
13	New York, NY 10004
14	
15	March 20, 2024
16	11:04 AM
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON MARTIN GLENN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: KS

Page 2 HEARING re Hybrid Hearing re: Post-Effective Date Debtors Motion Seeking Entry of an Order (I) Approving Automatic Revocation of Presumed Mistaken Convenience Claim Elections, (II) Approving Optional Revocation Procedure for Eligible Convenience Claim Elections, and (III) Granting Related Relief. (Doc. ## 4372, 4417, 4530, 4336, 4433, 4434, 4485, 4713, 4732) Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	. g = 5. 52	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	ARTUR ABREU, Pro Se	
4		
5	WESLEY CHANG, Pro Se	
6		
7	CHRISTIAN FUNCK, Pro Se	
8		
9	LAURA MCNEIL, Pro Se	
10		
11	RIECE KECK, Pro Se	
12		
13	GEORGE STANBURY, Pro Se	
14		
15	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
16	Attorneys for the Debtor	
17	300 North LaSalle	
18	Chicago, IL 60654	
19		
20	BY: CHRIS KOENIG	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Py 4 01 92
	Page 4
1	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
2	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee
3	Alexander Hamilton Custom House
4	One Bowling Green, Room 534
5	New York, NY 10004
6	
7	BY: SHARA CLAIRE CORNELL
8	MARK BRUH
9	BRIAN S. MASUMOTO
10	
11	ALSO PRESENT:
12	JIN WU
13	ANDREA AMULIC
14	SAMUEL ARGIER
15	TONIAH BARNES
16	DAVID BARSE
17	THOMAS G. BAULDREE
18	CHRIS BECIN
19	JOSE I. BELTRAN
20	BLAINE G. BERNARD
21	JEFFREY BERNSTEIN
22	INGO BEUTLER
23	CHRISTOPHER BRADHAM
24	KYLE BRAY
25	PAUL BREUDER

	Pg 5 01 92		
		Page !	5
1	DONALD ENEM BRINK		
2	JOHAN BRONGE		
3	RALPH MICHAEL BURTON II		
4	CARL COTE		
5	VITOR CUNHA		
6	SHIRLEY CARROLL		
7	RICKIE CHANGE		
8	PAOLO CIAMARONE		
9	CHRISTINA CIANCARELLI		
10	JOSHUA CLARK		
11	CHRISTOPHER COCO		
12	SONDA CORI COHEN		
13	AARON COLODNY		
14	FREDDY COLON		
15	LAFAYETTE A. COOK		
16	CAM CREWS		
17	OONA E. CRUSELL		
18	JOSHUA CRUZ		
19	DAVID J. DALHART		
20	FLORENT DAVID		
21	YVONNE M. DEHART		
22	THOMAS DIFIORE		
23	TRISTAN DIAZ		
24	SIMON DIXON		
25	SHARON DOW		

	Py 0 01 92	
		Page 6
1	SCOTT DUFFY	
2	JEROME DUFOURG	
3	JOHN PETER DZARAN	
4	SIMON ELIMELECH	
5	JEFFREY D. EATON	
6	JANELL ECKHARDT	
7	JAMES ENGEL	
8	DAVID AVERY FAHEY	
9	AAMIR S. FAROOQ	
10	BARRY FLOWETS	
11	TYSON FOIANINI	
12	DEBORAH FRANKEL	
13	DARIOUS-VLADIMIR GHEORGHE	
14	REBECCA GALLAGHER	
15	MARJORIE N. GARCIA FRANCO	
16	JALSIEGH GEARY	
17	MIRA HAQQANI	
18	MONIQUE D. HAYES	
19	ROBERTO HERNANDEZ	
20	SAMUEL P. HERSHEY	
21	KAITLYN HITTELMAN	
22	JOHN HITTI	
23	PERRY D. HOLLOMAN	
24	JOHANNA C. HOLLOMAN	
25	JASON IOVINE	

1	1 g 7 01 32	
		Page 7
1	THIBAULT ITART-LONGUEVILLE	
2	FRANCES JONES	
3	KRILL KHAN	
4	DAVID KAHN	
5	DAN KAPLAN	
6	YARA KASS-GERGI	
7	ROBERT KAUFMANN	
8	TRAVIS KEENEY	
9	SOPHIE KELLER	
10	NATE KELLER	
11	JOHN H. KNAB	
12	RIKI KOULY	
13	TOMMY KUSTERS	
14	ROSS KWASTENIET	
15	SERBAN LUPU	
16	CHRISTOPHER LACKEY	
17	LISA R. LANG	
18	JENNIFER LARKIN	
19	SOLEI K. LARKSON	
20	DAN LATONA	
21	CATHY LAU	
22	JOSEPH LEHRFELD	
23	MARK S. LEONARD	
2 4	NICOLE A. LEONARD	
25	PIETRO V. LICARI	

	1 g 0 01 32
	Page 8
1	XI LIN
2	MAARTEN MAAN
3	JAY MACELHENNEY
4	DAVE K. MALHOTRA
5	KEVIN M. MANUS
6	DANIEL J. MAREE
7	CHASE MARSH
8	CAROL MAUNDER
9	EOIN PAUL MCANESPY
10	KEVIN MCCARTHY
11	MARTIN RUDGE MCNEILL
12	JOHN MELLEIN
13	TOM MERCURI
14	MARK CASEY MILLER
15	LAYLA MILLIGAN
16	CARLA MOORE
17	KEITH NOYES
18	RICHARD OSWALD
19	SHAWN P. PARPART
20	MILIN PATEL
21	JEFF PATTON
22	ANDERS PEDERSON-BJERGAARD
23	GREGORY F. PESCE
2 4	RICHARD PHILIPS
25	WEI QIANG

	1 g 3 01 92	
	Pa	age 9
1	JONATHAN RODRIGUES	
2	CARL D. ROBINSON	
3	MARK ROBINSON	
4	JONATHAN RODRIGUEZ	
5	LIZ ROVIRA	
6	NAIDU SANDRANA	
7	JOSEPH E. SARACHEK	
8	MICHAEL SAKISSIAN	
9	DAVID SCHNEIDER	
10	NOAH M. SCHOTTENSTEIN	
11	WILLIAM D. SCHROEDER	
12	ELENA SELEZNEVA	
13	DAVID SENES	
14	EZRA SERRUR	
15	MATTHEW W. SILVERMAN	
16	LUKE SPANGLER	
17	COURTNEY BURKS STEADMAN	
18	PAUL D. STORVICK	
19	ASHLEY LAUREN SWIM	
20	LUCY L. THOMSON	
21	DAVID TURESTKY	
22	ELVIN TURNER	
23	PIOTR MAREK UJMA	
2 4	TIMOTHY JOHN VANN	
25	EZRA VAZQUEZ-D'AMICO	

	Pg 10 01 92	7
	Page 10	
1	TONY VEJSELI	
2	LORENZ DIETER WAGENER	
3	CAROLINE WARREN	
4	KEITH WOFFORD	
5	GUOHUA XU	
6	RISHI YADAV	
7	ANDREW YOON	
8	GOLSHID ZAHIREMAMI	
9	JARNO BERG	
10	ED G. BIRCH	
11	SANTOS CACERES	
12	SIMON CONOR DEEHAN	
13	VINCENT GUYADER	
14	RAKESH PATEL	
15	HEIN VAN DER WIELEN	
16	RICK ARCHER	
17	AARON BENNET	
18	CLARA ELLEN GEOGHEGAN	
19	UDAY GORREPATI	
20	TAYLOR HARRISON	
21	DIETRICH KNAUTH	
22	MIKE LEGGE	
23	JONATHAN RANDLES	
24	TIMOTHY REILLY	
25	VINCE SULLIVAN	
		- 1

		Page 11
1	CATHY TA	
2	MAUDE TIPTON	
3	ALEX WOLF	
4	ZACHARY ZABIB	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

Page 12 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CLERK: All rise. THE COURT: Please be seated. Good morning, Mr. 3 4 Koenig. 5 MR. KOENIG: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Are you feeling lonely in the 7 courtroom? MR. KOENIG: Yeah. Mr. McCarrick at the last 8 hearing said something like he'd lost all of his friends. 9 10 And I think that sums it up. 11 THE COURT: All right. I don't mean to make fun. Just for those who are on Zoom, Mr. Koenig is the only one 12 13 in the courtroom, obviously besides the judge and staff. 14 Mr. Koenig, go ahead. 15 MR. KOENIG: Thanks, Your Honor. For the record, 16 Chris Koenig of Kirkland & Ellis for the post-effective-date 17 Debtors. 18 So, Your Honor, there's been a bit of correspondence on the docket about the claims process. 19 20 feels like the early days of the case again. We only have 21 one motion this morning that is I would describe almost 22 uncontested. So with Your Honor's indulgence, I think it 23 makes sense to give you some context for the distribution 24 process, how things are going, common issues and things of 25 that nature, especially given the number of creditors that

we have on the phone.

So this is the most complicated claims

distribution process that I've ever been a part of. We are
slated to make distributions to nearly 400,000 people in
almost every country in the world. It's over 150 countries.

And we will be distributing to anyone who is eligible to
receive cryptocurrency, we will give them cryptocurrency,
Bitcoin and Ethereum. And we will be distributing U.S.

Dollars to anyone who cannot receive cryptocurrency for any
reason. And this distribution process is very complicated
because we worked to give creditors what they demanded from
us; a distribution in cryptocurrency to the extent they
could receive it.

Our creditors are people who are bought into the power and benefits of crypto. We held on to the crypto through the bankruptcy. We did not sell it. Other debtors have sold it. That would have been the easy thing to do.

FTX sold their crypto and is making distributions in U.S.

Dollars. But we know from our conversations with the Committee, ad hoc groups, and individual creditors, our creditors wanted crypto. And to the extent we were able to give it to them, we wanted to do that.

Now of course we have to be able to give it to them in a way that is regulatorily compliant. One of the major issues in this entire case was what did the regulators

think about our distributions. And we worked carefully with them to make sure that we were making distributions that they were okay with. We worked with them to make distributions of Bitcoin and Eth only, not of other alt coins like Sushi Coin or Dogecoin or all of the other tokens that Celsius offered prepetition.

So we've worked with regulators to do something that they would support. And Your Honor may remember we actually had no objections from regulators to confirmation I think as part of that process.

So we developed a very complex distribution plan to give as many people crypto as possible while complying with all regulations, which I will come back to in a moment. We spent months preparing for this incredibly complicated distribution. We started negotiating with potential distribution agents last summer and we selected Coinbase and PayPal around the time of the disclosure statement.

Coinbase and PayPal are some of the largest and most trusted cryptocurrency distribution partners in the world. We wanted to select partners that allowed us to make the most distributions of cryptocurrency to the most possible people while fully complying with all regulatory requirements in all of the different jurisdictions. That includes Know Your Customer and Anti-Money Laundering requirements, KYC and AML.

Those requirements are really important. Because I don't want to wake up and read the front page of the Wall Street Journal and it turns out that we have distributed currency to a Russian oligarch or a terrorist organization or anything like that. And that's important to the regulators too of course. So we found trusted distribution partners who were fully regulatorily compliant in all of these jurisdictions.

So let's go back to January where we were at the peak of our preparation for the effective date. We were preparing to send billions of dollars to hundreds of thousands of people all over the world. And we thought at the time that about 90 percent of those distributions could be in cryptocurrency. And about ten percent that for various reasons, the most important of which is what jurisdiction are you in. PayPal is our distribution agent in the United States. Coinbase is our international distribution agent. Coinbase, we have about 150 countries where we have customers to distribute to. Coinbase services about half of them. And it's mostly the larger jurisdictions; Western Europe, larger Asian countries and the larger countries.

If you live in a smaller country in South America, perhaps Coinbase doesn't have the license to distribute to you. And of course if I don't have a distribution partner

that is allowed to distribute to you, we have to give you dollars. That's the only thing we can do.

So what we did is we designed and we developed a master claims management system that had every creditor in the case. And what we thought -- we could give them the crypto or give them cash based on where we thought they lived at the time based on our record.

Now, that's not perfect. If I thought that you lived in California, Your Honor, but you had actually moved to Botswana, a place that Coinbase doesn't support, there's going to be a little bit of movement of course. But we believe that 90 percent of our creditors could get crypto. We reserved enough Bitcoin and Ethereum to make distributions to those people. And the plan provides that for anybody that can't get cryptocurrency, we will give them an equivalent amount of cash.

Now, the most complicated thing about this distribution is that bitcoin is -- the price fluctuates. I hesitate to call it a commodity because of the legal implications. But for simplicity's sake, I'll say it's a commodity.

THE COURT: Moved a little bit since the start of this case, hasn't it?

MR. KOENIG: It moved a little bit since the effective date, in fact, which is what I'm getting to.

So the plan drew a line in the sand. Here is the distribution record date on which point we calculate all distributions. So it's the cryptocurrency distribution record date. And that date was set at 15 days before the effective date, which turned out to be January 16th, 2024. Now, that was important because we set aside an amount of cash and crypto to make sure that we could comply with our obligations under the plan. We had to reserve enough crypto, we had to reserve enough cash because we knew that it was possible that bitcoin as a commodity could fluctuate up or fluctuate down, and there has to be a date at which we all look and say this is the price, all of those sorts of things.

So, for example, if somebody was entitled to receive one Bitcoin and ten Ethereum. And we could reserve crypto. It's very easy. Reserve one Bitcoin and ten Ethereum. If you lived in a place that we couldn't give you crypto or we didn't believe we could give you crypto for whatever reason, we reserved an equivalent amount of cash at January 16th prices. On January 16th, Bitcoin was about \$43,000 and Ethereum was about \$2,600. Now, those prices are about double what they were on the petition date and they've gone up significantly more since.

But what we did was the best that we could do tat the time with the information we had. And we were complying

with the plan, which set a date on which the calculations would have been made.

Now, of course since January the price of crypto has gone up. And that is great news for most of our creditors. If you received crypto and you received Bitcoin, that Bitcoin is now worth \$63,000, \$65,000 when it was worth \$43,000. If you received a U.S. Dollar check for \$43,000, I understand perhaps your frustration that, oh, if only I could have received crypto, I would have received 65 instead of 43. But se can't see into the future with a crystal ball. All we could do was the best that we could at the time. And as of January 16th, those are the prices.

And if the prices had gone the other way, those creditors would have said, boy, Bitcoin was \$43,000 on the effective date. You should have given me \$43,000.

So it's very difficult with this commodity that is so fluid in price. And it's so expensive to hedge. We couldn't have bought hedges for this just to have it. But we understand the frustration of creditors that have received cash instead of crypto. But we sold the crypto that would have otherwise gone to them on or around January 16th in accordance with the plan. There's nothing else that we can do. I've read all the letters that they -- boy, they should make me whole, they should account for the runup in prices. We can't do that. We sold their crypto a month-

and-a-half ago. We are doing our best.

And the whole point of the motion here today is to try to do our best by as many people as humanly possible.

It's a very unusual motion. I've never filed it before. I hope to never have to file it again. But I hope that Your Honor and the parties listening know that we are endeavoring to do our very best by everybody in this process. It's very complicated and some things just can't be done. And there were steps that had to be taken in January to prepare for this eventuality. And yes, crypto went up. And that is such great news for so many of our creditors. I think it justifies the strategy we took in the case. But I don't think anybody has been inequitably treated. It is what the plan says. It is what the plan says.

So with that, I want to quickly turn to the status of distributions and how has it been going. So my colleague

THE COURT: Either now or when you finish that, I also want to hear about the status of the first two -- the rest of the shares of MiningCo.

MR. KOENIG: Yes, no, wonderful. And I've got a couple of other quick topics.

THE COURT: That's fine. Go in your --

MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. And I appreciate the preview.

So my colleague, Ms. Golic, we filed some slides last night, Your Honor, to sort of illustrate how it's going. And, Deanna, I believe that you made Ms. Golic a copresenter. So if she could present some of the slides. And I'll start on this slide right here.

This sort of walks through our different distribution channels and how it's been going. And these percentages on the right column are the percentages of people that are eligible to receive a distribution.

THE COURT: Let me ask you for a moment.

MR. KOENIG: Yes.

THE COURT: So for anyone who has access to ECF, these slides were filed as ECF Docket 4732.

MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. KOENIG: So this presentation lays out as of yesterday the amount of people that have successfully claimed distributions. And there's percentages on the right that say successfully distributed. And there's a footnote that's too small perhaps to read on the screen. But what it says is the percentages are of people that are eligible to receive distributions. They're people that opt out of the class claim settlement and they're going to litigate their claims before Your Honor at some point in time. They're not part of the denominator because they're just not eligible to

receive claims. Those sorts of things.

So today is I believe the 47th day after the effective date. And we have successfully distributed -- that means somebody has actually claimed -- it is in their account and it is in their hands, they can do whatever they want with it. 86 percent if they were assigned to PayPal or Venmo. And again, those are U.S. creditors predominantly.

Of the remaining 14 percent that haven't claimed a distribution, 11 percent of them have not done the necessary KYC process to register for their distribution, or they just haven't clicked on their code. So with PayPal, eligible creditors get two emails with individualized codes. They go onto PayPal's website, they type in the code. And if everything is correct, it will just be deposited in their account.

THE COURT: Just for creditors in the United

States who elected for crypto, where can they look to find

the instructions that you've just described as to what to do

in the case that they -- you say three percent that have

failed to onboard, et cetera. Where can they look to get

the directions as to what to do?

MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. So in the email that everybody receives, there are links to FAQs and other help services that are there. We filed several claims distribution updates. The company has an FAQ as well.

Those are all in filings on the docket. They are pretty prominently titled. You know, Debtor's first update on distributions, Debtor's second update on distributions. And anybody listening, if you can't find it, feel free to reach out to and we'll be happy to --

THE COURT: Let me suggest this. After the hearing today, put it on the docket again so somebody doesn't have to search the entire docket looking for it.

MR. KOENIG: Wonderful.

THE COURT: There will be -- almost at the very bottom of the ECF list will be the directions again for anyone who hasn't done it so far.

MR. KOENIG: Yes. And maybe what we'll do is like a notice of, you know, important distribution filings and we'll take the three or four of them and we'll just compile them all in one. That might make it even easier.

So of the 11 percent, some of them just haven't completed the KYC process, which is on them. And they should have received instructions on how to do that, or they just haven't typed in their codes. Or they've typed in their codes and they're having some sort of problem. I'm sure you've read those letters, just as we have. We've read them. We've investigated them. I would say all of them that we've reviewed, there appears to be some sort of issue on their end. Haven't actually completed the KYC, they're

not actually typing in the code right. We're doing our best to respond to those individual creditors and try to point them in the right direction. But it's challenging.

THE COURT: Who do they contact if they haven't been able to successful do this?

MR. KOENIG: Right. So there is a ticketing system that is on all of these different FAQs and so on and so forth that you can put in your problem and you can select on a dropdown, I'm having trouble claiming at PayPal. And that will route you to the right people that will get you a quick answer.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KOENIG: And I think that that's very important. And then three percent failed onboarding, which means they've provided their information and they are -- the shorthand is banned by PayPal for whatever reason. They violated their terms of service in the past, and so PayPal doesn't want to service somebody that's scammed them out of a prior distribution. Which, you know, it is what it is. We will migrate those users to Coinbase first if we can. And if they are banned at Coinbase too, we will give them

Now, the interesting thing here is -- so I talked about the January 16th issue and how we had to set it aside.

If we're holding Bitcoin and Ethereum for somebody and it

turns out they can't receive it because they fail onboarding or they move to a country that -- we thought they were in California but they're actually in some country that Coinbase doesn't service. The plan provides that we can sell that crypto and give them whatever the proceeds are. Because I actually have the crypto. We have the crypto I should say. So if today we converted somebody that was banned at PayPal to Fiat and you were going to get one Bitcoin, you would get one Bitcoin -- whatever today's prices are. I've seen a lot of letters and a lot of concerns saying Celsius is going to steal the overage. we have it, we will give it to you. Nobody is stealing anything. Nobody is stealing anything. So that's the PayPal --THE COURT: May I ask this? MR. KOENIG: Yeah. THE COURT: You just provided I think important information. It doesn't appear to affect a very large number of creditors, but it does. MR. KOENIG: yes. THE COURT: Is there a place where they can look and see essentially what you've just said? In other words, if people had elected crypto and you're not currently able to provide it because PayPal or Coinbase won't do it but you're holding the crypto, given the runup in the price

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

since January 16th, it's important. Is there a place they can look and see what you've just said?

MR. KOENIG: Yes. And in the notice that we filed, which we will refile. And it is in -- what we've done is we've been trying to improve the process. And I think communications is the area that we worked very hard on this process. I think we were surprised by the overwhelming number of inquiries. In the days after the effective date, we had thousands of inquiries a day and our queue got up to 20,000.

THE COURT: I haven't received thousands, but as you know, because my clerks have made sure when we've receive inquiries, we've made sure that both the Debtor and the Committee have received copies of it. They may have received it directly, but we've made sure of that.

MR. KOENIG: Yes, right. And we've done our best to respond to people as promptly as possible. But we have taken steps to improve our communication strategy and sort of continue to build the airplane out as we're flying it. I mean, we had a very good plan, but there's always ways you can improve the process.

And so many letters complained about slow responses of form responses. We pointed people to FAQs and form answers because that works for the vast majority of people. And there are people that have said, boy, why can't

Pg 26 of 92 Page 26 you just push my individual distribution through? Well, I do have 15,000 people saying that. And we have tried to triage and focus on common issues and focus on issues that will resolve issues for the most people at once rather than sort of playing whack-a-mole and solving this person's individual issue and then that person's individual issue. So we focused on inquiries that were more time-sensitive and would allow us to get to common answers. THE COURT: So the slide you have on the screen, overall 80 percent of the distributions have successfully occurred so far. MR. KOENIG: Yes. And --THE COURT: Twenty percent still leaves a lot, but... MR. KOENIG: Right. And that includes the Fiat distributions, too. So if it's just the crypto distributions, it's 85 percent total. It's 86 percent at PayPal, 83 percent at Coinbase, and a blended 85. And I'll go through Coinbase in a moment. But just on the communications before I lose the thread. We've been listening to the requests for more detailed and personalized responses. And we've been working to improve our communication strategy to make sure that people get more frequent updates from us. So our goal that

we set about a week-and-a-half ago was that every creditor

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that has not received a distribution should get a new email from us with the status, what do they need to do, what are the next steps. And for some of them it should be, you know, you'll receive a reattempt next week, and for some of them you have a little bit more of a complicated situation, but here is the status.

We're almost done with those distributions. We've sent our more than 150,000 emails. And I am pleased to report that in the last week we've made a lot of progress on these numbers. They would have been lower last week. We made quite a bit of progress.

respond to creditors quicker. The process is complicated because the company, Celsius, is directing the distributions. But we're not actually making the distributions. Coinbase, PayPal, and our Fiat partners are making the distributions. So we rely on data from them to say, you know, this distribution failed to Chris Koenig for whatever reason. We have to get that data back. And we've been working with them to develop an improved system so that the people making the distribution, Coinbase and PayPal, can give us information in more real time that will allow the people answering the flood of creditor questions more promptly so that we have the latest and greatest technology. But it is complicated.

But we've now largely caught up on communications. The outstanding tickets are much lower than they've been in the last few weeks. And just anecdotally in the last three, four, five days, we have seen that number go way down as our new communication strategy rolled out. And as these distribution numbers go up, we have fewer questions because people have fewer outstanding issues. Which is very good.

So I talked about PayPal a little bit. Let me talk about Coinbase quickly. And then I have a couple of other quick topics because I know there's a lot of people on the line, and some of them raised some of these topics.

So Coinbase doesn't have codes. If you have an account -- if I have an account at Coinbase for Chris Koenig and the KYC matches, because they need to make sure that I'm the same Chris Koenig that is a customer of Celsius -- I won't bore Your Honor with the details, but it is complicated. If I have a fully-KYC'd account with Coinbase and they know that I'm the right Chris Koenig, when Coinbase goes to attempt the distribution, if it worked, I get an email that says congratulations, you just received crypto from Celsius. That's the happy path. That's easy, no problem.

If you -- but Coinbase is going to attempt everyone regardless of -- they don't check first to see does Chris Koenig have an account, their system just tries me.

And if it works, great. And if not, it comes back with a failure that says Chris Koenig doesn't have a KYC'd account. And I get an email that says, Chris, we just tried you, it didn't work. You know, you need to complete KYC, you need to open an account. Here are links to what you need to do.

And so Coinbase first tried these distributions around February 14th, about two weeks after the effective date. And a number of people it worked, and a number of people hadn't set up accounts yet, which I totally understand. Those people initially got a communication because we had understood in that moment that they would never be able to get something from Coinbase. It was more akin to Coinbase has banned you, then you don't have a KYC account. We looked into it because those numbers seemed high to us. And it turned out that it was just a misunderstanding. Those people just didn't have an account. So those people subsequently got an email from us that said that earlier communication was in error, please go and open an account, do the KYC. We will try you again. We will try you on a periodic basis.

On Monday, Coinbase ran it again, and a full third of the outstanding accounts had done what they needed to do and had opened an account and had provided Coinbase and we distributed a full third of the outstanding amounts from Coinbase. That number was a little bit over 70 percent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

literally two days ago. So we are making progress. And similarly here, you see sort of a same percentage. You have of the remaining 17 percent, 13 percent just haven't done the KYC properly and need to do it or need to create an account or something like that. And about four percent, the data that we have suggests that they may not ever be able to meet the KYC because they are on some sort of banned list or whatever the case may be. Those people will be migrated to Fiat. And again, same explanation goes for PayPal. If we have their crypto, we will sell it and we will give them the proceeds, whatever they are at the time. So we've made a lot of progress recently with Coinbase.

I had, again, just sort of anecdotally. But as you can imagine, I have a number of phone calls with lawyers and pro se alike these days. And in the last couple of days, I would say half of those calls were cancelled because people said I appreciate your time, but I just got my distribution. Again, it's anecdotal evidence, but I think the data bears it out that the process is working. And I think that we're ahead of the curve. In large retail bankruptcies, it can take quite some time for distributions to go out. And in the other large crypto bankruptcies, it took time, too. In one of them, it took 30 days for them to start the process. 14 days in, we distribute 75 percent of the value and 50 days in we've distributed 85 percent of the

value. And, frankly, three-quarters of the remaining value to be distributed, we're waiting on somebody to open an account or complete KYC, and there's only so much that we can do there. People are getting regular communications from us, obviously, to remind them to do those sorts of things.

But what I will say to Your Honor is, you know, I want this number to be as high as possible as quick as possible. But it's sort of the 80/20 rule of 80 percent of the time -- 20 percent of the time is spent on solving the first 80 percent of the problem, but the last problems are the more difficult ones to solve. We've gotten emails from people who are like, I understand, you thought I lived in California. I now live in Botswana. We can help that person, but that's a very manual process and it's going to take a little bit of time.

So we are endeavoring to get distributions out to people as quickly as possible, but I don't expect this number to hit 95 percent in a month. It's going to be a little bit slower going. Now that we've solved all the common problems, we're going down to the brass tacks of the difficult, individualized problems.

Okay, just a couple of other updates. And I apologize for being long-winded, but I think it's important.

So Fiat. Fiat is the third row on this chart.

It's U.S. Dollars. So unfortunately, we had to change our bank in February. We were with Flagstar, who had bought Signature Bank. And they unfortunately were running into their own distress. And the irony is not lost on me that in a crypto bankruptcy we are worried more about the security of the U.S. Dollars than of the crypto. I have to say that out loud.

So we moved banks because it would be a disaster if our banking partner went under and took our money with it. So we transferred to a new bank successfully, but it took a few weeks to migrate and to get a new corporate account set up and all of the bells and whistles that you need to get the services online that allows us to send checks and wires all over the world. And Fiat is one of the other I would say success stories where we overhauled the process based on initial feedback.

So our plan had been to send checks to everybody eligible to receive Fiat, which is very inexpensive, it's very simple, and it works. But 45 percent of our creditors live outside the United States. And when we sent communications right after the effective date saying we're going to send you a check, please update your address if you've moved recently, people said, whoa, whoa, whoa, I live in a country where the post doesn't get delivered regularly, the post is corrupt, people steal checks, my bank won't

deposit a U.S. Dollar check. You need another solution.

So we used the timing delay to our advantage from moving banks and we overhauled the system. Anybody with a claim of at least a thousand dollars can get a wire transfer for this, which should solve the problem for the international people. Eligible creditors should receive a communication by the end of the week asking for wire transfer instructions. We've asked for quite a few of them already. We just keep going out to more and more people as we hear of this issue.

THE COURT: How are you dealing with potential security issues regarding wire transfers to ensure that these are the proper accounts?

MR. KOENIG: For sure. I mean, there is as you can imagine a validation and security process that I am not equipped to go through in detail. But rest assured that our banking partner does this for a living and the company does this for a living.

THE COURT: And your banking partner is who now?

MR. KOENIG: Pardon?

THE COURT: Who is the current banking partner?

MR. KOENIG: Oh my god. It's totally blanking on

23 me. I'll get it when I sit back down. But it's a

significant bank. I'm just totally blanking on it. But we

25 are confident in the process. Frankly, the biggest problem

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Pg 34 of 92 Page 34 we've had is getting people to send us the right information. Because we can only complete a wire transfer if they fill out the form correctly. THE COURT: You need a routing number. MR. KOENIG: And some of these creditors don't speak English as a principal language, which is totally understandable. But we'll get back a wire transfer form that has their name and their address, and we need the name of the bank. THE COURT: Have you prepared wire transfer instructions --MR. KOENIG: Yes. THE COURT: And has that been distributed to all of these people? MR. KOENIG: Yes. And we've continued to update the form to make it even more clear over time as the first round of it. You know, we would say bank's address, and people would put their own address. And so the second time we go through and we say, no, we really need the bank address, not your address and make it as crystal clear as possible for people. So as I said, by the end of the week, anybody over a thousand dollars will be contacted us and have the opportunity to receive a wire. And even for those under a

thousand dollars. If you tell us you can't receive a check,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we'll work to get you a wire. And those people are going to get a communication from us by the end of the week saying we will send you a check, here's where you can update your address. And if you tell us affirmatively that you can't receive a check, we will give you a wire, too. And so by the end of next week, we will have attempted all wires where creditors gave us correct and complete wire instructions as of yesterday and we'll just ramp up from there. Okay. That is the update on Fiat.

So again, Fiat is a little bit behind the eightball as you can see from the numbers just because the banking delay, number one. And we overhauled the system to make sure that people that were receiving Fiat could actually deposit it. Because I don't want to send a check to somebody and they can't deposit it, we have to cancel the check and it's a whole thing. All right.

One of the other areas of concern has been from corporate creditors, as Your Honor may have read in some of the letters. Unfortunately, our agreement with Coinbase only allows us to distribute to 100 corporate creditors.

Those are corporations, LLCs, trusts, and other non-individual entities. So if there was an LLC and the account was in the name of the LLC rather than the individual person. They are I'll call them a corporate creditor.

The universe here is small but significant. Just

than one percent by dollar amount.

Page 36

for Your Honor's edification, there's about 1,800 corporate creditors. They have a total of \$78 million in claims.

Those are --

THE COURT: Give me that number again. How many?

MR. KOENIG: 1,800 corporate creditors with a

total of \$78 million in claims. Now, those are big numbers.

But in the scope of Celsius, remember that we have about

400,000 creditors with claims big enough to receive a

distribution, and they have about \$5 billion in claims. So

it's about a half a percent by number and a little bit more

Corporate creditors are a challenge to KYC and to distribute to. For you and me, Your Honor, individuals, if I want to sign up with Coinbase, I give them my driver's license, I give them my Social Security number. Coinbase has a vendor that they run through and they go, yeah, this person is who he says he is. He's not on the banned list, he's not a Russian oligarch, good to go.

If the corporate creditor is I Love Crypto, LLC, how do you figure out who that person is? A lawyer has to read the LLC agreement. Right? And that structure may be several levels up and you need to make sure that the ultimate beneficiary is not a Russian oligarch. And so we negotiated this point --

THE COURT: Just so the record is clear, you've

Page 37 1 reference several times Russia. There is a regime in place 2 that prevents distributions to certain categories of 3 creditors. 4 Thank you, Your Honor. Federal rules MR. KOENIG: 5 and regulations that prevent us from sending money to 6 certain recipients who are affiliated with terrorist 7 organizations or regimes that the U.S. Government --8 THE COURT: The sanctions regime in effect with 9 respect to Russia currently in effect restricts any 10 distributions to a fairly broad swatch of individuals or 11 entities. 12 MR. KOENIG: And that is one of the points of the 13 KYC AML process. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Just so that everybody understands why in part such care has to be taken. 15 16 I have another case where about \$8 million is frozen in New 17 York because it can't be returned to Russia because the 18 creditors include sanctioned parties. 19 MR. KOENIG: Interesting. 20 THE COURT: This is not a Celsius-specific 21 problem, it's a more general problem because of the 22 sanctions regime in effect. Go ahead. 23 MR. KOENIG: So again, if the creditor is an LLC, 24 a lawyer has to read the LLC agreement and then you have to 25 figure out who the ultimate beneficiaries are. And that's a

complicated and expensive process. It was one of the harder-fought negotiations that we had with distribution agents because we have 1,800 creditors and we want to distribute crypto to as many of them as possible. We landed on 100 corporate creditors. For better or for worse, that was the best that we could do. And there was a point in time where we thought we were going to get zero. And there are 1,800 people. And --

THE COURT: How are you going to deal with the other 1,700?

MR. KOENIG: They are getting Fiat. And that is the only thing that we can do.

So the plan and the disclosure statement said if you cannot get cryptocurrency for any reason, including because there is not a distribution agent that can send to you in a fully regulatory compliant way, you will get U.S. Dollars. And so we're sort of back to the conversation that I had at the beginning of my presentation where if you were outside of the top 100, we reserved for you Fiat because we did not have a slot for you as of the effective date. And I've read the letters of people that, you know, they wish that we had done some other process to select. What we did was we wanted to make the most out of those 100 slots. And there's any number of ways you could have done it. We looked at the data, and of the 1,800 creditors, half of

them, 900 have less than \$5,000 in claims. Those are relatively small in this case. Those 900 people collectively have about \$1 million of claims. That's -- and again, \$78 million in claims total.

So I've seen the question, oh, the Debtors should have run a lottery. Well, if we had run a lottery and we had used one of the 100 slots on somebody who was entitled to receive \$25, that doesn't seem like a very good use of limited resources.

So what we did is we went out to the top 250 creditors by size and said do you want cryptocurrency or do you not care. This was in January. And we said if you were indifferent, please let us use the slot on somebody who really cares. And there were creditors that said I don't care, send cash. Those creditors may be kicking themselves now with the run-up in prices, but it is what it is.

But it shows that as of January 16th, nobody should have cared. It was --

THE COURT: They may have gotten their money and reinvested it in Bitcoin.

MR. KOENIG: Yeah, exactly. They could have done that. But, you know, I understand -- same as I understand the frustration of the people that live in countries all over the world that we can't distribute crypto to. They see people that are getting more in their mind. But we are

giving them what the plan requires. And I'll stand behind our process as the process that we believed was going to get the most use out of limited resources and to get the most amount of crypto to the most amount of creditors. And it's complicated and it's frustrating I'm sure, but that is what it is. And so there's corporate creditors that are saying, well, you should make me whole for the runup in crypto prices. I sold their crypto on January 16th, and the price has gone up. We can give them the Fiat, but it is what it is. Okay.

CLERK: Sorry, Judge. We have a raised hand.

THE COURT: Not yet, Deanna.

MR. KOENIG: I'm almost done, Your Honor.

The convenience class, that is the subject of this morning's motion, so I'll table that. And then on the loans, Your Honor may recall that we had an option for borrowers to sort of refinance the principal balance of their loans. The main reason was tax treatment for those individuals. We had about 350 individuals that elected that treatment. We've been working with the lenders to refinance them. We closed our first cohort, a small cohort, but it was a large dollar amount actually with about six or seven creditors last week. And we are doing about another hundred this week. And again, there's like 350 that initially elected it, and some of those people have fallen away and

have decided that they don't want to do that after all. So we'll give them their normal distributions.

Your Honor, I have been speaking for quite a while. There have been quite a few letters. Is there anything that -- oh, you wanted me to address the MiningCo stock. Those discussions remain ongoing. It's difficult for me to speak to it because that process is confidential with the SEC. But Your Honor and the other parties should rest assured that I do not represent the MiningCo, I represent Celsius. But for my understanding, those conversations are ongoing and I am hesitant to characterize them for fear of breaching confidentiality. But there has not been a development that is -- you know, the SEC has not denied the application, nor has it granted it. Those conversations remain ongoing, which, frankly, is what we have expected.

I've been speaking for a while. Is there anything Your Honor was hoping I would address before I get to the motion?

THE COURT: No.

MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, let me -- before you get to the motion, I am going to allow creditors who wish to be heard to speak. Just so we are clear about this process, my courtroom deputy, who is not in the courtroom, she is able

Page 42 to see raised hands on her computer. And she will try and do this in the order in which hands are raised to identify people. I ask you to keep your comments brief if possible. But I do want to hear what you have to say. Deanna, can you indicate the first person to be heard? CLERK: Yes. We have Laura McNeil. THE COURT: All right. Ms. McNeil? MS. MCNEIL: Hi, Your Honor. Thank you for allowing me to speak today. My name is Laura McNeil, and this is the first court hearing I've attended, participated in. I've been following the bankruptcy court updates all along wherein I've waited patiently throughout this process. But I now feel like it's time to speak up. I am here with two other creditors today, Wesley Chang and Riece Keck. And we are representing a group of over 80 corporate creditors found and connected with in a very short amount of time over various social media channels. Together, we wrote a letter with all of our names and we submitted this to the Court on Monday. We encourage you to read Docket Number 4719, as it outlines the great inequity we are all experiencing in regards to receiving USD distributions. The majority of the corporate creditors we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

represent have retirement accounts, family trusts, wills, and non-conventional corporate accounts aimed at safeguarding our financial futures.

I personally manage nine accounts. Seven of these are corporate accounts that are all self-directed IRAs which are sole-operator LLCs with retirement funds. Four of these accounts are for my parents' IRAs and contain their entire life savings, which they have not had access to for well over a year-and-a-half, largely affecting their retirement and their livelihood. This has severely affected all of our mental health, not knowing when, if, or how much of our funds we get back. My parents worked hard for decades and they put their entire net worth into these tax-sheltered accounts with Celsius under the false pretenses their funds would be safe.

The reason I am speaking to you today is to bring to your attention how corporate creditors are not getting equitable treatment with their distributions. The Debtor selected only a hundred corporate accounts, as you heard earlier, to receive their distribution through cryptocurrency with Coinbase. And the other accounts were told they were to receive U.S. Dollar distributions based on January 16th crypto prices. However, it's been over two months and most of us are still waiting to receive any distribution.

In Chris Koenig's opening statement, he said we could do what we wanted with these funds. But most of us have still not even received these funds.

Since then, Bitcoin has gone up about 60 percent and Ethereum has gone up about 40 percent in price. This is a huge discrepancy from the January 16th prices. Selecting 100 accounts mean that those accounts got preferential treatment. The debtor had a fiduciary responsibility to be equitable and they breached this duty. We feel the Debtors were negligent in not trying to find a distribution partner to service all corporate accounts equally.

In Kirkland & Ellis's recent docket, filing number 4623, (indiscernible) that accounts are unable to receive cryptocurrency distributions, and all of selected that option. The Debtors could sell the liquid cryptocurrency and the creditor will receive liquid cryptocurrency at prevailing market price as close to the expected date of the cash distribution as possible. If we as corporate creditors are to be compensated with U.S. Dollars, we should also be able to receive these distributions at prevailing market prices as close as possible to our distribution. To pay us at significantly lower January 16th crypto prices and have us wait over two months is another example of how this process has been inequitable. Our crypto should have never been sold. However, if the Debtors were going to liquidate

assets, they should have had a viable banking partner able to distribute these funds as close as possible to when they were sold as they specify they are doing for other creditors. This is yet another example of how these distributions have not been equitable across creditors. Why should corporate creditors, including my parents, be treated differently than any other creditor? As the U.S. justice system (indiscernible), people are to be treated impartially, fairly, equally, and reasonably by the law.

Your Honor, on behalf of myself, my parents, and a growing list of over 80 corporate creditors we have connected with, we are asking that you rule that was not an equitable solution for corporate creditors.

In Chris Koenig's opening statement, he said if we have it, we will give it to you. Well, we understand that Kirkland & Ellis has retained \$165 million to use to remedy (indiscernible) such as this. And we kindly request you order these distributions to be made equitably so all creditors are treated fair and equal as required by law.

Specifically we are requesting the Court to order distributions be made in cryptocurrency. And where this is not possible, corporate accountholders should receive U.S. Dollars at current prevailing market cryptocurrency prices as close as possible to when they are sold to match treatment of other creditors receiving U.S. Dollars.

Page 46 1 For the few corporate accounts that have already 2 received U.S. Dollar distributions, we ask that they be made whole based on the prevailing market rate when they received 3 4 their distributions just as Kirkland & Ellis have stated 5 other creditors will receive. These requests will ensure 6 all creditors are treated fair and equal. 7 Your Honor, thank you for your time and your 8 consideration. 9 THE COURT: All right, thank you. I haven't read 10 your filing, ECF 4719. I would ask that the Debtors respond 11 in writing to it on ECF and I'll determine how to take it 12 up. 13 MR. KOENIG: Understand. We will do so. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. McNeil. 15 All right, Deanna, who is next? 16 CLERK: We have Wesley Chang, I believe. Wesley, 17 can you unmute? MR. CHANG: Yes, hello. Thank you, Your Honor, 18 for giving us the opportunity to speak. I don't know if 19 20 you're able to see me on the screen. 21 THE COURT: I can't see you on the screen. I can 22 see your name on the screen. Go ahead, Mr. Chang. 23 MR. CHANG: Okay, all right. Yes, again, my name 24 is Wesley Chang. I am a (indiscernible) creditor who owns (indiscernible) Earn, Loan, and corporate accounts with 25

Celsius.

Mr. Koenig earlier spoke about doing their best to ensure equitable distribution. And I am here to resoundingly dispute that claim as well as other claims that he has made this morning.

The Debtors could not secure a distribution partner. Financial issues with their banking partners, logistics, complexities, miscalculation of distribution.

This is all documented through the dockets that were submitted by all the creditors. So I just don't feel that Debtors have much credibility.

As you heard from Ms. McNeil and as you'll hear from Mr. Keck, we are here to represent all corporate creditors who have been informed that we will not be getting distribution in the form of cryptocurrency as we have all requested.

According to the Docket 4623 by Kirkland & Ellis on March 13th, the reason for the Debtor's inability to distribute currently co corporate creditors was due to an onboarding process and compliance requirement being significantly more demanding for corporate creditors. And we spoke about that earlier. I understand. But as Mr. Koenig spoke about the AML and KYC compliance, we were all subject to KYC process through Celsius even after the bankruptcy effective date. But the Debtors still took it

upon themselves to involve a third party distributor, which obviously added more complexity to the distribution process, which is where we are now a month later. We still haven't gotten anything. And as a result, they were allowed to enter into an agreement that limited equitable distribution for those outside of top 100 accounts.

Docket 4220, again, filed by Kirkland & Ellis back on January 11th stipulated that if you are not eligible to receive a distribution in cryptocurrency due to your particular circumstances, we will receive -- you will receive distribution in U.S. Dollars.

(indiscernible) this was a huge miscalculation on the Debtor's part and there should be no practical reason for those corporate creditors who selected cryptocurrency as the form of payment to receive cash distribution. We feel that this is a clear failing on the Debtor's commitment to ensure equitable distribution.

The topic of equitable distribution naturally took
the front stage due to the spike in Bitcoin and Ethereum
prices as of recent. But such headline could have been
avoided had the Debtors at their own discretion, again,
could have exercised fair and equitable judgement by
committing (indiscernible) deficiency.

And Docket 4319, filed on February 15th, the

Debtor state U.S. Dollar distribution will be distributed as

soon as reasonably possible after the effective date of the plan. Due to what Debtors classified as a logistical complexity, as soon as reasonably possible didn't materialize. So even if for some reason distribution must be made in cash, we ask the Court to establish a rule that cash wire transfer distributions be made within five business days from the new effective date that should be established, or cash value at the time of distribution be within five percent of the Bitcoin and Ethereum value. We have to have that type of rule to be (indiscernible). Otherwise, we'll be back in the court arguing this point. So in closing, I want to reiterate Ms. McNeil briefly -- what she touched on earlier. The corporate accounts are mostly owned by single-member LLCs with IRA and retirement funds of her parents and her relatives. And that is -- and that goes for most of the corporate creditors, including mine. So we ask Your Honor to be fair in your (indiscernible) and consideration of those who really need a fair treatment. Your Honor, please have mercy on all of us and grant us the equitable distribution we all deserve. Thank you for your time. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Chang. Let me hear next -- Deanna, who is next? CLERK: We have Riece Keck.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 50 1 THE COURT: All right. 2 Apologies if I got the wrong name. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Keck, go ahead. MR. KECK: Hello, Your Honor. My name is Riece 5 Keck and I am a corporate creditor based here in the United 6 States. For context, I have a claim with Celsius of 7 approximately \$305,000. 8 I am here today to raise concerns about the 9 inequitable treatment of corporate creditors in the Celsius 10 bankruptcy case. I am for context one of those who is 11 scheduled to receive distributions in U.S. Dollars. 12 Although I did originally request cryptocurrency, I was 13 initially somewhat indifferent due to the similar Bitcoin 14 prices on January 16th and the effective date. 15 However, the significant delay in receiving funds 16 and the recent appreciation of Bitcoin and Ethereum have 17 materially impacted my distribution. If paid in 18 cryptocurrency, my claim would currently be worth 19 approximately \$82,000 more than the U.S. distribution at 20 current prices. 21 There are three primary issues that I want to 22 raise with treatment of corporate creditors. First, as we've discussed, is that only 100 corporate creditors could 23 24 receive cryptocurrency while the rest receive U.S. Dollars. 25 Now, I fully understand the reasoning for picking

U.S. Dollars, as Mr. Koenig mentioned, in the instance of regulatory or compliance issues. However, increased administrative burden is not a valid reason for unequal treatment. Debtors should have found an additional distribution partner capable of handling the (indiscernible) corporate creditors.

Secondly, as Mr. Koenig mentioned, the funds were liquidated on January 16th. However, this was done without a proper banking partner in place, which has caused delays and prevented creditors from benefiting from recent cryptocurrency appreciation. And as Mr. Koenig mentioned, only 18 percent of wires have gone out.

Your Honor briefly mentioned earlier that those corporate creditors could have reinvested, which I actually did intend to do, as I anticipated a wire shortly after the effective date. However, as of today, I still have yet to receive anything. So had things gone out on time, I could have done that. But the Debtors failed to accommodate that.

And then third, individual creditors who are unable to receive cryptocurrency through Coinbase and PayPal are assured that their crypto will be sold at current prices while corporate creditors are not afforded the same treatment.

So, Your Honor, I believe these issues violate fundamental principles of bankruptcy law. First, in unfair

discrimination, and I believe the Debtors have unfairly discriminated against similarly-situated creditors by treating corporate creditors differently.

Secondly, breach of fiduciary duty. The Debtors breached their fiduciary duties by failing to secure a distribution partner capable of servicing all corporate accounts equitably.

Third, inconsistent treatment. The Debtor's own statements indicate that creditors unable to receive cryptocurrency, individual creditors, will receive proceeds at prevailing market prices, but corporate creditors are forced to accept outdated prices.

And fourth, unnecessary liquidation. The Debtors should not have liquidated cryptocurrency assets prematurely without a viable banking partner, which has caused harm to corporate creditors.

Now, I understand that those distributions -- or those assets were sold on January 16th. However, as a previous creditor mentioned, Kirkland & Ellis has withheld back \$165 million to ensure equal and fair treatment of all creditors. And I ask that you rule fairly to those of us who have been unable to receive cryptocurrency. Thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Thank you. Deanna, next?

25 CLERK: Next is George Stanbury.

Page 53 1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Stanbury? 2 MR. STANBURY: Good morning, Your Honor. you very much for all of your patience and effort in this 3 4 (indiscernible). I have simply two questions to ask. The 5 first, how did you value (indiscernible) value our U.S. 6 Dollar (indiscernible) for purposes of the distribution. 7 And my second question is since any distribution 8 (indiscernible) upon receiving a distribution 9 (indiscernible), why didn't I and others ever receive the 10 distribution letter? 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Stanbury, I'm not sure I understood your point. 12 13 MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, I think I heard the 14 second point, which was --15 THE COURT: You have to identify yourself. 16 MR. KOENIG: I'm sorry. Chris Koenig from 17 Kirkland for Celsius. 18 I think what Mr. Stanbury said was he has not received a PayPal code yet. And he was asking why. 19 20 Mr. Stanbury, if you -- we'll refile on the docket 21 tonight the FAQ with all of the different emails and 22 ticketing systems. But if you email me, my email is on all 23 of Celsius' filings, Chris.Koenig@Kirkland.com. 24 email me your question, we will come back to you promptly. 25 And of course you are free to address the judge. But if

Page 54 your question is why haven't I received my code y et, I standing here don't know that, and I would have to check my records. THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Stanbury, if you want to say anything else. MR. STANBURY: The first question was how did you value the price or the value of an alt coin such as (indiscernible) for purposes of distribution. MR. KOENIG: Right. So what happened, Mr. Stanbury, is there was a table --THE COURT: And it's Mr. Koenig who is speaking. Go ahead. MR. KOENIG: I'm sorry. Mr. Koenig speaking to Mr. Stanbury. Mr. Stanbury, the plan provided for a table that would be set for a conversion of different types of cryptocurrency into each other. But if you had a claim for ADA, that claim was set on the petition date pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code. And so whatever the price of ADA was on that date is the claim that you have. And then we distributed to you Bitcoin and Ethereum at prices that were as of January 16th. So if your question is what was the price of ADA, the price of ADA was the price that you had in your account. That's your claim against Celsius. That was set on the petition date of July 13th, 2022. And then you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 55 1 got Bitcoin and Ethereum that -- let's just say that the 2 value of your account as of July 13th, 2022 was \$10,000. The plan provided that you would get Bitcoin and Ethereum 3 4 valued as of January 16th, 2024. And it's about 57, 58 5 percent as the initial distribution. So if you have a 6 \$10,000 claim, you've got Bitcoin and Ethereum that as of 7 January 16 was worth \$57,000 or \$58,000. And then what the 8 Bitcoin and Ethereum was worth sort of goes up and down with 9 the market. 10 Does that help answer your question? 11 MR. STANBURY: Yes, thank you. MR. KOENIG: You're very welcome. If you have 12 13 anything else, as I said, please email me. 14 THE COURT: All right. Deanna, next? Christian Funck. 15 CLERK: 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry, say it again, Deanna? 17 The name is Christian Funck, F-u-n-c-k. CLERK: 18 MR. FUNCK: Yes, hello. Can you hear me? 19 THE COURT: Yes, I can. Go ahead, Mr. Funck. 20 MR. FUNCK: Your Honor, thank you for your time. 21 I'm calling out of Europe. I am calling from one of the 22 creditor class, the Borrow class. And we sent a letter 23 yesterday, and it's about the unfair discrimination between 24 the actual creditor class, but also within the actual credit 25 class itself.

In our case, in the Borrow class, it was mentioned by Mr. Koenig that refinancing is only for tax reasons.

That is not correct. Many people are refinancing because they actually cannot pay back the loan themselves but they don't want to lose their crypto. But the situation is as follows. Some within the credit class or the Borrow class, they have been able to pay off the loan or settle the loan (indiscernible) prices whilst we that want to refinance, our loans cannot (indiscernible) prices (indiscernible) have to do it at market prices. And at the moment that would give us around, you know, almost half of the amount of coins back than other people in our same class that's been able to receive.

Therefore, we think that it's unfair

discrimination and we would like to (indiscernible) funds

set aside to remedy that or to at least (indiscernible)

refinance (indiscernible) excess effective date pricing just

like all the others.

And finally, if you allow me to comment, also what we try to understand is that our assets have in the meantime since the petition date (indiscernible) almost risen by 370 percent. The question is where does that upside get to or go to.

Thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. KOENIG: Your Honor, I'm happy to address -- Chris Koenig, Kirkland.

So what Mr. Funck is talking about is under the plan, borrowers had three options. The default option was setoff. That means that they no longer owe the loan to Celsius. It is forgiven. And in exchange, we will reduce the collateral that we were holding for them in an equal amount. So they get a hundred percent recovery because they owed us — if they had a million dollar loan, they owed us a million dollars, and we are forgiving that loan. And in exchange, we will reduce their account effectively by a million dollars.

That is the default treatment, and that is the treatment that overwhelming borrowers selected because that was the simple treatment and that's what made the most sense.

Your Honor ruled as part of confirmation the collateral belongs to Celsius. It does not belong to the borrowers. And the borrowers had a claim, an unsecured claim for the difference. They had a setoff right, and then they had a claim for the difference. And what we did to accommodate borrowers who had a negative tax consequence is setoff is a taxable transaction. If they repaid their loan and got their collateral back, that would not be a taxable transaction. So what we did in the plan was we allowed them

to repay their loan if they wanted. They would not get all of the collateral back, because Your Honor ruled it didn't belong. But what we would do is they could buy a like amount of their collateral back. So if they had a million dollar loan, they had three million dollars of collateral. They could repay the million dollars. We would give them their collateral back in an equal amount. A million dollars of Bitcoin or Ethereum or whatever it was, and that will help their tax treatment. I mean, I'm not giving tax advice, but we think that that should hopefully help their tax treatment. But it's at then-prevailing market prices. It was going to be neutral to the estate. And if they preferred it, we were happy to facilitate it. So there were to options. If you could -- if you didn't need to refinance your loan because you could come up with a million dollars, we had a process that before the effective date there was a week-long period where you sent in a wire and we sent you back your equivalent amount of Bitcoin or Ethereum.

So I'm using rough numbers. But if you did that, you bought Bitcoin at \$43,000. The plan also provided that if you didn't have the cash and wanted to finance the cash through a third-party lender -- not Celsius -- the Debtors would work -- I think the plan says commercially-reasonable efforts to work with the lenders after the effective date to come up with a refinancing. That process is complicated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The borrower has to negotiate with the lender, has to sign documents, has to sign documents with us directing us to give their distribution to the lender, who has a new loan.

So a borrower could take out a new million-dollar loan with, you know, lender. Lender sends us the money, we send a million dollars of Bitcoin or Ethereum to lender as well as the post-setoff amount to which the borrower is entitled for the overage, the difference between the \$3 million in collateral and the \$1 million loan. And then we have no further relationship with the borrower. The borrower has a new relationship with the lender and has to pay a million dollars to the lender to get that million dollars in collateral plus the claim on the two million dollar delta.

So what Mr. Funck is arguing is he wishes that he could have bought Bitcoin at \$43,000. And it has taken time for us to refinance because it is a complicated process.

And he wishes that he could have bought Bitcoin at \$43,000 like everybody else. And that is -- I mean, the plan has provided that it is going to be at prevailing market prices so that it is net-neutral to the estate and to other creditors. Allowing Mr. Funck to buy bitcoin now at \$43,000 when the market price of Bitcoin is \$65,000 I would argue is inequitable, and anybody should make that choice. But I shouldn't advocate, but that is his argument.

THE COURT: All right. Here's what I would like you to do, Mr. Koenig. We can order the transcript after this hearing. Rather than have separate filings as to each of the questions you've gotten, you can do a single filing. I think you ought to try as best you can to address these issues. I think I understand.

MR. KOENIG: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Funck.

Deanna, who is next?

CLERK: We have Mark Casey Miller.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Miller, go ahead.

MR. MILLER: Good morning, Your Honor, Mr. Koenig.

Thank you guys for your time this morning.

I am one of the individual creditors in the Celsius distributions. I was -- I am a U.S. resident here out of Tennessee set to receive my crypto via PayPal/Venmo. I am one of the ones -- I'm kind of representing a group of about 60 of us that are in the same predicament that though we have -- I've had a PayPal account for 21 years. I've been an excellent PayPal customer. Verified KYC. Attempted to claim codes, got an error, some process error on the back end. PayPal said I'm a hundred percent perfectly fine, KYC. Codes don't work. But then I got the email a day later saying important, your claim cannot be distributed by PayPal or Venmo, please wait for further instructions.

Well, it's been about 36 days now and we haven't received any information since then other than we had a docket update -- I believe Docket Number 4623 that was filed on the March 14th. Did notate it had a blurb in the bottom that notated as a reminder if for any reason neither PayPal nor Venmo can service your claim distribution, you will be notified that your distribution cannot be serviced through PayPal or Venmo and the post-effective date debtors will attempt to make your distribution via Coinbase.

So we are at this stage now that we have not received any official information outside of seeing this in this docket five days ago. So I've submitted multiple tickets to Stretto along with the other ones in this predicament. We all have gotten the same copy and pasted response, which doesn't give us any new information. we've even tried to reach out to Celsius before they shut down the 29th to verify, okay, do we have our stuff in place to receive our Coinbase distributions. And we've noticed now that you -- now that the Debtors are starting to make attempts to distribute Coinbase, the second attempts for the Coinbase users with KYC issues, we're wondering are those of us that are unable to be serviced by PayPal that are being moved to Coinbase, when are we going to start seeing our distribution attempts through Coinbase since we are being moved to another distribution agent?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 62
1	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Miller.
2	MR. MILLER: And we're kind of just yeah.
3	MR. KOENIG: Mr. Miller, it's Chris Koenig. I
4	understand the question entirely and I have what I hope is a
5	helpful response.
6	So we are in the process of migrating the people
7	that can't get distributions from PayPal to Coinbase,
8	Coinbase is going to be reattempting distributions I would
9	say every two weeks. And our plan is to migrate people
10	PayPal to Coinbase on the off week. So I would hope in the
11	next couple of weeks you're going to get your distribution
12	through Coinbase. That would be my expectation. I mean, I
13	haven't looked at your particular claim. I don't know your
14	particular situation. But that is our plan for banned users
15	from PayPal generally, is we're going to be moving them to
16	Coinbase promptly in the next couple of weeks. And I hope
17	you get your distribution soon.
18	THE COURT: Deanna, next.
19	CLERK: Artur Abreu, A-r-t-u-r is the first name.
20	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Abreu, go ahead.
21	MR. ABREU: Pro se creditor. Can you hear me?
22	THE COURT: Go ahead.
23	MR. ABREU: Can you hear me?
24	THE COURT: Yes, we can hear you fine.
25	MR. ABREU: Okay. So I just wanted to add my

personal experience to the matters that Chris Koenig was mentioning about distributions. I have two other family creditors who were successful and a third. We are international, so we are doing the KYC on the Coinbase side.

So this third family member was required to provide a source of income. And I -- we cannot provide this because it's (indiscernible) retirement. But I just wanted to highlight that the claim here is still under \$5,000. So I'm kind of frustrated because I'm trying to -- I already sent back (indiscernible) even the mortgage, and we still are having issues. So I just want to highlight for the Court that some issues with KYC is also -- is coming from the Coinbase side because they are trying to have a source of fund. And in this particular case, there is no -- there is no future -- and this family member doesn't intend to keep on Coinbase. Just wants to sell and rescue all the funds here. So I just want to highlight this.

I know the judge usually just have (indiscernible) pro se creditors about the motion about the (indiscernible) Earn creditors that were (indiscernible) and was not given time. Many creditors contacted me. I think --

THE COURT: Mr. Abreu, we have a separate motion on the docket.

MR. ABREU: Okay.

THE COURT: That hope -- today, today that --

Page 64 1 MR. ABREU: Yeah, yeah, yeah. 2 THE COURT: -- to deal with this issue about the convenience class. So we'll take that up when we finish 3 4 this portion of the hearing. 5 MR. ABREU: Okay. Thank you, Judge. I just 6 wanted to bring that issue (indiscernible). Thank you. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Deanna, next. 8 CLERK: Rebecca Gallagher. 9 THE COURT: Ms. Gallagher, go ahead. 10 MS. GALLAGHER: Yes, Your Honor. I am in the 11 situation where I have received my Ethereum code and claimed it on PayPal but still have not received a BTC code. So I 12 13 would like someone to assure me today that the code is 14 coming and I will get those 2.069 BTC. And then I can wait 15 patiently. But the thought that I might not be getting the 16 code at all is really very, very distressing. 17 THE COURT: All right. Let's see. Mr. Koenig, go 18 ahead. 19 MR. KOENIG: Ms. Gallagher, it's Mr. Koenig. 20 heard this from some people, that they received one code or 21 not the other for whatever reason. There were a couple of 22 creditors that could elect to receive only Bitcoin or 23 Ethereum. We offered that option to people with very large 24 claims. Assuming you did not do that, the Bitcoin code is 25 coming. We've heard this from a number of people that for

Page 65 1 whatever reason their email flagged one of them as spam or 2 So we are resending all of the outstanding codes. And I hope that you receive it soon. It should happen in 3 4 the next week or so. If you still haven't received it, 5 please feel free to contact me after a week or so and I'll 6 look into it more for you. But we are aware of the issue. 7 We're trying to push out all of the claim codes. It may 8 take another week or two, but we're working on it. 9 coming, I assure you. It is coming. And again, assuming 10 that you didn't opt for a hundred percent ETH, your Bitcoin 11 code is out there and we'll track it down for you. 12 THE COURT: All right. Deanna, next. 13 MS. GALLAGHER: I opted to 75 percent ETH and 25 percent Bitcoin. So does that mean I'm definitely going to 14 15 get the 25 percent? 16 MR. KOENIG: You should. I obviously need to look 17 at your particularized claim. But yes, we'll look at it. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. Deanna? 19 MS. GALLAGHER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Gallagher. 21 CLERK: We have Ezra Vazquez-D'Amico. 22 MR. VAZQUEZ-D'AMICO: Thank you. Can you hear me, 23 Your Honor? 24 THE COURT: Yes, I can. Go ahead, Mr. D'Amico. 25 MR. VAZQUEZ-D'AMICO: Thank you. I am here

Page 66 1 representing myself. I'm owner of a single-member LLC that 2 I'm also a Loans creditor as well as manages my retirement. 3 a regular Celsius creditor. But I wanted to second 4 everything that's been said by the corporate creditor class 5 (indiscernible) and add in addition that I've talked to a 6 number of corporate creditors like myself who do have 7 Coinbase accounts, corporate accounts for their LLC. But we 8 were never given the option to receive the funds in 9 cryptocurrency. So I just wanted to add that fact, that 10 while Mr. Koenig said that Coinbase had pointed out that it 11 would be to difficult to onboard as many corporate creditors 12 as might have wanted to, some of us were already onboarded 13 and were not treated equitably that way. Thank you, Your 14 Honor. 15 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. D'Amico. 16 MR. KOENIG: We will address that in our written 17 response. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Next, Deanna? 19 CLERK: Jin Wu. 20 Thank you, Your Honor, for your time. 21 am an individual creditor with suspended accounts due to the 22 fact that I have more than one account with Celsius. 23 appreciate the fact that the Debtors are working through the 24 suspended accounts to try (indiscernible) distributions. My

questions are the following.

First, is there an approximate timeline for suspended users to receive our distribution? The second is are there foreseeable issues that would prevent suspended users from receiving these distributions? In other words, what kinds of suspended users are likely not to receive distributions and what kinds will receive distributions? And thirdly, I just want to make sure that our distributions won't be distributed in kind rather than in U.S. Dollars. Thank you.

MR. KOENIG: Thank you. It's Chris Koenig. So
I'll take you in turn.

So just for Your Honor's edification, we had a number of users that were suspended on the Celsius platform for whatever reason. Many of them had multiple accounts, which was a technical breach of the terms of service.

As we were starting distributions, we realized that we had suspended these accounts and that it wasn't proper to keep them suspended for what amounts to a technical violation of the terms of service. So we decided to unsuspend those users and process them for distributions.

That is a manual process. I can't just flip a switch and send all of those people. We need to go through and manually enable those users for distributions. We are in the process of doing it. I can't give you a particular day. I hope that in the next couple of weeks almost all of

them are enabled for distributions. I don't expect any issues particularized to the formerly-suspended users. They will be routed for distribution just like everybody else.

Now, if you are a corporate creditor and you were suspended, you may get Fiat for the same reason that all the other corporate creditors are going to get Fiat. So I can't guarantee you that you will receive cryptocurrency. But if you are otherwise able to receive cryptocurrency, you will receive it.

THE COURT: Ms. Wu had described herself as an individual account.

MR. KOENIG: Then it sounds to me if she lives in the United States and she is an individual, I would expect that she would get cryptocurrency unless she's banned on PayPal and Coinbase or something for that example. But I would expect that you would receive crypto. And we are unsuspending your accounts in the next few weeks. We will, once unsuspended, you know, send them to Coinbase and PayPal for distribution. You know, that may take a little bit of time to work its way through their process. But, you know, I am hopeful that in the next month, month-and-a-half hopefully it's in your account.

THE COURT: Deanna, next. Thank you, Mr. Koenig.

Deanna, next.

CLERK: Janell Eckhardt.

Pq 69 of 92 Page 69 1 MS. ECKHARDT: Hi. I am Janell Eckhardt. 2 U.S. Earn Creditor and I have a few questions or comments, 3 actually. So I wanted to see if Kirkland could disclose who 4 5 else they considered for the corporate and international 6 distribution partner. PayPal is actually bigger 7 internationally than Coinbase. Did they consider anybody else? 8 9 Another one is why was the app shut down early, 20 10 days versus the 90 days they originally talked about. Why 11 couldn't corporate use the app? They were already KYC 12 already. So why couldn't they use that instead? 13 Kirkland liquidated on January 16th, but they 14 didn't notify corporate accounts until January 19th (indiscernible) January 23rd deadline. And if distribution 15 16 is U.S. Dollars, do those creditors still get back stock? 17 don't think that's been addressed yet. And then finally 18 (indiscernible) should get -- they really should get a paper 19 notification. Some don't get emails and it goes into their 20 spam. So (indiscernible) notifications going to creditors, 21 but they're only getting email. So I would request that 22 they also get paper notifications. Thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Eckhardt.

So I wrote down I think many of your questions.

MR. KOENIG: Thank you. Again, it's Chris Koenig.

24

25

It was why

Coinbase and PayPal and not another distribution agent. I'm not going to disclose our discussions with other distribution agents, but we did go through the process. I mean, Coinbase was to my knowledge the only one who was willing to service corporate accounts at all.

You asked why we shut down the app early, 30 days versus 90 days. It was 90 days after the confirmation order, not 90 days after the effective date. So what we did is the confirmation order enabled custody withdrawals immediately and enabled them on the app and the confirmation order said that we has -- that people had 90 days from that date to claim a distribution. And that was because all of those distributions were through the app and were fairly simple. And the Court had ruled that custody was their property. So we were comfortable from a regulatory perspective that we were not distributing securities in violation of the securities laws. And we had talked to the regulators about that. If we were to make a distribution for something other than custody, I think the regulators would have had something to say. So that's the question of why not just let the corporate creditors take something off the platform. I don't represent the SEC, but I suspect that they would have had something to say if I argued that.

We got them comfortable that we were just -- if we were returning somebody's actual property to them, that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 71 1 might be different than making a distribution on a claim in 2 a bankruptcy. 3 But you said that we shut it down early. It was 4 90 days from the confirmation order, which I think was 5 November 9th. We actually extended that out until the end 6 of February because we wanted to give people even more time. 7 It wasn't 30 days from the effective date, it was 90 days from the confirmation date. 8 9 And then I think you said something about paper 10 notifications. We're certainly evaluating that. And it's 11 obviously expensive to send paper notices as well. As we go 12 through the process, if it seems that people aren't getting 13 our emails, we will send them paper notices. But paper 14 notices can be lost and discarded just like emails can be 15 lost or discarded. But we're going through the process. 16 THE COURT: Deanna, next? 17 CLERK: WE have Tyson Foianini. Do you want me to 18 spell the last name? 19 THE COURT: Yes, please. 20 CLERK: F-o-i-a-n-i-n-i. 21 THE COURT: All right. Please go ahead. 22 MR. FOIANINI: Hi, Your Honor. thank you for 23 allowing me to speak today. My name is Tyson Foianini and I 24 fall under Class 6A, General Custody Claims. I'm on the 25 call today because of Document Number 4372 that I received

via email on March 2nd, 2024. In this document, it is my understanding that the creditors may elect to reverse their convenience claim elections if they mistakenly voted to opt in the convenience claim election.

For the record, I rejected the plan in August 2023, not fully understanding the consequences of my vote and now fall under Class 6A, General Custody Claims, Treatment B.

From my understanding, my crypto will be transferred to a segregated walled held by the post-effective date Debtors and shall be subject to an all avoidance and (indiscernible) claims with respect to such allowed general custody claim.

So my question is as follows. I would like to know if I can reverse my vote and fall under Class 6A,

General Custody Claim, Treatment A, where I would receive 72.5 percent of my cryptocurrency.

THE COURT: Mr. Koenig?

MR. KOENIG: Yes. Again, Chris Koenig. So we represent Celsius and the post-effective date debtors.

There was a litigation administrator who was appointed to pursue the preference issues. If you email me, Mr. Foianini -- and again, my email is on all of the Celsius filings -- I will put you in touch with that person who can address that question. But I understand -- your question is can I make

Page 73 1 the election that was offered to me before. I can't speak 2 to that. But if you contact -- I will give you their contact and I hope that you get a response very soon. I 3 4 understand your question. We'll put you in touch. 5 MR. FOIANINI: And where did you say I could get 6 your email from? 7 MR. KOENIG: It's on any of the Celsius filings on 8 the court docket. The Kirkland signature block has my name. 9 It's Chris.Koenig@Kirkland.com. But it's on any of the 10 Celsius filings. 11 MR. FOIANINI: Thank you, Mr. Koenig. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Deanna, next? The next person that has not spoken is Don 13 CLERK: 14 Smith. 15 THE COURT: I'm only going to hear people once. 16 Mr. Smith? 17 MR. SMITH: yes. Your Honor, thank you for 18 allowing me to speak. My name is Don Smith. I am a Loan creditor. And on February 23rd, I emailed Mr. Koenig, Ms. 19 20 Golic, and the Celsius Creditor Answers Email address 21 established by Kirkland & Ellis advising them I decided to 22 change to the BTC ETH setoff treatment at effective date 23 prices. Mr. Koenig promptly confirmed my setoff selection 24 that day. 25 Nearly a month has passed, and I have not received

Page 74 1 a crypto distribution. My PayPal account is operational 2 because I received and retrieved a small Earn claim a few months ago. Can Mr. Koenig please address when loan setoff 3 4 creditors will receive their BTC ETH PayPal claim codes? 5 Thank you. 6 MR. KOENIG: Thanks, Mr. Smith. It's Mr. Koenig. 7 Look, when you reversed your election, you went back to the 8 setoff. WE processed you for distribution. I haven't 9 looked at your particular claim. We're sending claims to 10 PayPal and Coinbase on a regular basis. 11 You've already been in touch with me it sounds 12 like via email. If you just bump up that thread, I'll check 13 into it for you and see what the status is. My guess is you 14 are somewhere in the process. But this process is not 15 instantaneous. 16 But also for anybody on the line, if you have 17 reached out to us and you have individualized questions, you 18 should just feel free to email me or the other contacts that 19 are on the FAQs at any point. We do our best to get back to 20 people as promptly as we can. It's not always 21 instantaneous. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 MR. SMITH: Okay. I will send that email right 24 after the hearing. Thank you. 25 MR. KOENIG: Thanks. We'll check into it for you.

	Py 75 01 92				
	Page 75				
1	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Thank you, Mr.				
2	Koenig.				
3	Next, Deanna?				
4	CLERK: Ralph Burton.				
5	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Burton? Mr. Burton,				
6	do you wish to be heard?				
7	CLERK: Mr. Burton, I see you are unmuted. Can				
8	you speak? Try speaking. Maybe it's a problem with your				
9	microphone.				
10	MR. KOENIG: Perhaps your microphone is muted				
11	actually on your end with a button or something.				
12	CLERK: Yeah. It's unmuted on Zoom.				
13	MR. KOENIG: Right. Sometimes there is the double				
14	mute. I'm guilty of that myself sometimes.				
15	THE COURT: Deanna, call the next one. If Mr.				
16	Burton comes through, we will listen to him after. But				
17	let's go on to the next person.				
18	CLERK: The next person already spoke. It's				
19	Christian Funck.				
20	THE COURT: No. Only speaking to people once.				
21	Are there any others who haven't been heard, Deanna?				
22	CLERK: No.				
23	THE COURT: All right. Ralph Burton, if you want				
24	to be heard, unmute your line and we'll be happy to listen				
25	to you. All right.				

Page 76 1 Everyone who wanted to be heard has been heard by 2 the Court. Do you want to move on to the remaining item on 3 the agenda? 4 MR. KOENIG: I do. Just really quickly, Mr. 5 Hershey from White & Case represents the litigation 6 administrator. And I understand from him that he just had a 7 brief update he wanted to provide to the Court on their 8 process working through the preference issues. So with your 9 indulgence, we can turn it over to Mr. Hershey. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Hershey? 11 MR. HERSHEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Just so Your Honor is aware, my video apparently has been stopped and I 12 13 can't turn it on. I don't need to turn it on unless Your 14 Honor wants me to. 15 THE COURT: Just go ahead. 16 MR. HERSHEY: Oh, there we go. 17 THE COURT: There you go. Go ahead. 18 MR. HERSHEY: Thank you, y h. It's been turned on Thank you very much, Your Honor. 19 20 Good morning, Your Honor. Sam Hershey from White 21 & Case for the Litigation Oversight Committee or LOC for 22 short. 23 Listening to Mr. Koenig's presentation, I have to 24 admit that some relief that the LOC is now responsible for 25 handling distributions, though the LOC is certainly working

to stay updated on the distribution process and we appreciate very much Mr. Koenig's update today.

Your Honor, I believe this is the first time the LOC has appeared before you. So with Your Honor's permission, I would like to take just a few minutes to update the Court regarding the LOC's work so far including (indiscernible) regarding the preference litigation that the LOC has been charged with overseeing.

As Your Honor is aware, the LOC was formed about six weeks ago on the effective date of the Debtor's planned reorganization. The purpose of the LOC, a lot of the litigation administrators working under supervision, is to increase account holders' recoveries by investigating and pursuing claims and causes of action belonging to Celsius.

The LOC and its professionals have begun that work in earnest and will continue to keep Your Honor informed as that work progresses.

One category of claims that the LOC has been charged with overseeing is the approximately \$2.5 billion preference claims against accountholders who withdrew assets from Celsius during the 90 days leading up to the petition date. This is a very small set of individuals. About two percent of active users.

Notably, this group does not include accountholders with preference exposure under \$100,000 who

were released under the plan or preference exposure resolved through the custody settlement.

I am pleased to announce that this morning the LOC released a settlement offer to all customers with unresolved preference exposure, specifically the LOC is giving customers the opportunity to settle their preference exposure at 13.75 percent of the value of the asset at the time they were withdrawn during the preference period.

Additionally, this offer allows customers to settle their preference exposure in cash rather than by returning the assets that were withdrawn, including many assets which have significantly grown in value.

I want to note that this offer has been designed as a limited-time opportunity to encourage parties to settle before the LOC incurs the time and expense of litigation.

The LOC would encourage everyone to settle the preference exposure quickly.

Accountholders who are eligible for this offer will be receiving an email with further details including how to accept the offer and get payment. Indeed, many accountholders likely already have received such emails.

If accountholders have questions regarding the settlement offer, they can visit the Stretto website where they will find a new tab at the top of the page that will route them to information regarding the settlement offer, or

Page 79 1 they can visit the following URL; 2 Cases.Stretto.com/CelsiusLOC. 3 Additionally, I will note that the LOC has posted 4 an open letter to accountholders regarding the settlement 5 process on its X or Twitter account and will continue to 6 post further updates throughout this process. 7 That is an update for today. The LOC looks 8 forward to providing further updates to Your Honor as its 9 work progresses. Thank you very much. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Hershey, what is the -- you said 11 it is an offer for a limited time. When is the deadline? 12 MR. HERSHEY: April 15th, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: April 15th, 2024 is the deadline for 14 accepting --15 MR. HERSHEY: Yes, Your Honor. Exactly right. 16 April 15th, 2024. Yes. 17 THE COURT: And with respect to anyone who does 18 not accept the offer and who has a potential exposure of 19 over the \$100,000, has the Committee made the decision to go 20 forward with litigation as to those claims? 21 MR. HERSHEY: So yes, Your Honor. And the LOC 22 intends to --23 THE COURT: People are entitled to know that 24 here's the offer, but what happens if they don't accept it? 25 MR. HERSHEY: Yes, Your Honor. I appreciate you

Page 80 1 raising that. We addressed that in the communication to 2 creditors this morning. And Your Honor is absolutely right, preference exposure over \$100,000 is not settled through the 3 4 settlement process, the Litigation Oversight Committee does 5 intend to pursue litigation to reclaim that value for 6 unsecured creditors. 7 THE COURT: And what is the number of creditors 8 who faced the preference exposure of over \$100,000 as of 9 now? MR. HERSHEY: It's about 5,000 or 6,000, Your 10 11 Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, Mr. 12 13 Hershey. Anything else you want to add before we go on? 14 MR. HERSHEY: No, Your Honor. thank you for your time. 15 16 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hershey. Go ahead, Mr. 17 Koenig. MR. KOENIG: Thanks, Your Honor. That was the 18 longest introduction I think I've ever given to a motion. 19 20 So as I think I previewed some time ago, one of 21 the most common issues that was reported in the early days 22 after the effective date was inadvertent or accidental 23 convenience claim elections. The convenience claim election 24 was an election on everybody's ballot that if somebody 25 elected would reduce the electing creditors' claim to

\$5,000, but they would get a guaranteed distribution of liquid cryptocurrency equal to 70 percent of the reduced claim. That is they would get \$3,500 of liquid cryptocurrency.

Now, at the time the disclosure statement projected Earn recoveries would have a liquid crypto recovery of about half that, something like 33 percent. So if you had a claim that was just above \$5,000, you could elect to reduce your claim if you had \$5,050. You could -- if you didn't elect, you might have received 33 percent of \$5,050, which lawyer math is challenging, as I've said a couple of times. But that's closer to \$1,500 or \$2,000. Or you could elect to just take a little bit of a haircut and instead get 70 percent of \$5,000.

So that was the purpose of the election, was people that are close to the \$5,000 mark, if they wanted to forego the MiningCo equity, if they wanted to -- if they just wanted the liquid crypto distribution and be done and be out of the case, they could do that. And many people did that.

Unfortunately, before the effective date we took a look at the data and we realized that a number of people had made that election that was clearly erroneous. I remember - I forget whether it was December or January. I stood in front of Your Honor and the first thing I said was we had an

update on this issue. And I said, Your Honor, there are seven people of over a million dollars that checked that box. Those people must have been wrong.

So we emailed everybody over \$25,000 and said, hi, we think you made a bad decision. Would you like to rescind your election? And about 70 to 75 percent of them did.

After the effective date, a lot of people got distributions and they were surprised to learn that they were in the convenience class. They asserted that they didn't understand the ballot, that they never actually checked the box. We checked our records. In every instance, our records reflect that they did check the box.

But it was obviously -- it seems to have been a mistake. And so I'll be honest that my initial reaction was there was a ballot, there was a process. I went back and I read the ballot. I think the ballot is pretty clear. I will say we have creditors -- 45 percent of our creditors are international. Many of them do not speak English as a first language, and it seems very inequitable to me for a creditor of a million dollars that checked a box erroneously to receive a \$3,500 distribution instead of a \$500,000 distribution.

So as the communications both to us and to chambers ramped up, we realized that this was a significant problem and that these pro se creditors didn't know how to

use the court system to ask for the relief that they wanted. And so we took it upon ourselves to file a motion on behalf of those creditors. It's a motion to rescind their mistaken election. It's a little bit weird to file a motion for another party's mistake. But we think that that's the right thing to do. It's a little bit of a complicated motion. Because anybody -- we ran the math. Anybody above \$9,333 would have never had an economic basis to make the election. Even if they valued the equity at zero, they must have made a mistake. For those people, we are proposing an automatic revocation. They don't have to do anything at all because they obviously made a mistake.

If you are between \$6,050 and \$9,333, you may have had a reason. Maybe you prefer liquid cryptocurrency over the equity. You don't value the equity and you would prefer to have 70 percent of \$5,000 rather than take some equity and some liquid crypto. So what we were proposing by the motion is those people in that band would get an email from us and they would have 30 days to affirmatively rescind their election. If they do, great. We'll move them back into the Earn class. And if they don't, we'll treat their election as valid and, importantly, final.

For people between \$5,000 and \$6,050, they've already received more than they would receive if they rescinded their election. So it doesn't make any sense. If

Pq 84 of 92 Page 84 they rescinded their election, I would have to ask them to give us something back. We're not going to do that. makes no sense. The motion received three responses. One from -there was a letter from a creditor -- and I apologize, I have it somewhere. There was a letter from a creditor, Docket Number 4385, that disagreed with the requested relief because his view was that the ballot was explicit and that many people made this choice and this is America and people should be bound to their choices. That was the only thing that I would say is an objection. There was another creditor that filed an objection to preserve their election. That is they did not want to opt out, they wanted to keep their election. We checked, and that person was actually not in the convenience class, which is very confusing to me. THE COURT: On the agenda it says objection to claim being rescinded by Patrice (indiscernible). MR. KOENIG: I think that's -- what is the docket number on that? THE COURT: 4594. MR. KOENIG: That was the one I just spoke about. That person said I do not wish to rescind my election. person actually did not make the election. But it is what

Another creditor filed a letter, 4678, complaining

it is.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that they couldn't rescind the election if they were below the sixty-fifty mark. But again, they actually do better where they are. So that doesn't make sense.

And then somebody else at 4718 said that he was not informed that selecting the convenience claim election would reduce his recovery. I think he's supporting the motion. But again, he actually is not a convenience class member and I think is confused.

THE COURT: Address the issue, because I think in your motion you addressed the issue about the reserves that are available and sort of the impact. I think one of the things I'm focused on is if I grant the motion, what if any impact does it have on other creditors in the case.

MR. KOENIG: Yes. That's important, Your Honor, for sure. And that was one of the things that we focused on.

So it's in the motion. But we have reserves in this case because there are people that opted out of the settlement and are going to litigate. And they could win or they could lose. And so we set aside some funds in case they are right and we are wrong. We have reserves for \$500 million of claims above and beyond the dollar values on the schedules. Now, some of those are other cryptocurrency firms that have sued us for, you know, their equivalent of preference under foreign law. And so it's not all

individuals. But we have ample reserves. That said, we of course can't just release everything from the reserves. I'r sure that some of the corporate creditors are writing down everything I'm saying and saying, well, why don't you release the reserves for me. Those numbers are much larger I would say.

So to Your Honor's question, if we revoke all of the elections above \$9,333, we will release approximately \$18.3 million worth of liquid cryptocurrency at the January 16th prices from the reserves, which is significant. But we are comfortable that we have enough reserves to meet our obligations under the plan. It's another \$6 million of MiningCo stock. That's less of a problem because, you know, MiningCo can issue more stock. And then more creditors will receive future recovery rights under the plan. Again, that is the -- those are the people that made a big mistake.

Assuming the revocation of the people in the narrow band in the middle, the \$6,050 to \$9,333, that would be \$1.1 million worth of additional liquid cryptocurrency.

It's a lot of people, but it's a small band. And if you had \$8,000 and you were reduced to \$5,000 and we're putting you back to \$8,000, it's a small dollar amount. The biggest issues are the large creditors that made a big mistake.

So in total, Your Honor, it's \$19.4 million of liquid cryptocurrency would come out of the reserves for

Page 87 1 which we are comfortable we have sufficient cushion to fix 2 this mistake. 3 THE COURT: Does anybody from the U.S. Trustee 4 wish to be heard? There were no objections. I know on the 5 agenda you've listed letters that were received. 6 MR. KOENIG: I was trying to make sure that people 7 had their voices heard. THE COURT: Yeah. So Ms. Cornell? 8 9 MS. CORNELL: Good morning, Your Honor. Shara Cornell with the Office of the United States Trustee. 10 11 The United States Trustee is aware of many of the 12 ongoing distribution issues. We are in receipt, just as 13 Your Honor is, of many emails on a daily basis from 14 creditors and constituents in these cases, some of which 15 have been reiterated on the docket via letters and also some 16 independent comments. 17 Prior to this hearing, we had reached out to 18 Debtors with a request for a status update, which the 19 Debtors have provided to the Court today. And I think it is 20 helpful for putting many of the stated concerns into context 21 for all of the creditors. 22 Our office are continuing to monitor the issues 23 and will provide assistance to the parties where 24 appropriate. 25 THE COURT: My question right now, I'm happy to

Page 88 1 hear you on all of the matters, Ms. Cornell. But 2 specifically with respect to the motion which is -- the 3 motion to revoke mistaken convenience class elections, it was ECF 4372. And you didn't file an objection on that. 4 5 MS. CORNELL: No, I did not, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: (indiscernible) ask whether -- your 7 position with respect to it. 8 MS. CORNELL: We took no position with respect to 9 the pending motion, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Does anybody 10 11 else wish to be heard with respect to this pending motion? 12 CLERK: Judge, Artur Abreu has his hand up. 13 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Abreu? 14 MR. ABREU: Hello, Judge. Just wanted to 15 highlight the support that we have. I think I have a group 16 with 130 people all in support. And I just want to 17 highlight that at no point on the ballot were people aware 18 of were they notified that they will be in some cases 19 rescinding 90 percent of their recoveries. 20 THE COURT: I understand our position, Mr. Abreu. 21 Thank you very much. 22 MR. ABREU: Thank you. 23 Does anybody else wish to be heard? 24 I do not see any additional hands. 25 THE COURT: All right. This potentially is a

complicated issue. Because the issue actually arose before the effective date of the plan, I consider it different than for example in the Boy Scouts case where it was much, much later.

MR. KOENIG: It was more than a year after.

THE COURT: Correct. So this issue -- and at some point before the hearing date the issue was highlighted to me about this potential issue about mistaken election of convenience class treatment. And I think because this issue arose before the effective date but only comes up actually today with the motion, I consider this materially different than the situation in Boy Scouts, for example. And really in the absence of any objection in light of when it was first raised with the Court, the motion is granted.

MR. KOENIG: Thank you, Your Honor. We will work to send the emails.

THE COURT: All right. I think that concludes our agenda for today. Just let me come back to I think -- I'm not sure you need to address every one of the issues that arose today, but I think you should do a filing that addresses it. I don't have any motions pending before me. I think we've raised issues that -- they are of concern to me.

MR. KOENIG: Right. We sill address the common issues including corporate creditors and loan creditors.

Page 90 1 And we will review the transcript, but those are the two 2 that really stuck out to me. 3 The other thing is I mentioned -- I had forgotten 4 about the bank. It's Citizens Bank, Your Honor, which is a 5 bank that I am sure you are familiar with. Okay. 6 Anything else for me, Judge? 7 THE COURT: No. Thank you very much. 8 CLERK: Sorry, Judge. Simon Dixon has his hand 9 up. 10 THE COURT: We have finished the agenda for the 11 day. The hearing is adjourned. Thank you. 12 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 13 12:42 PM) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	Pg !	91 of 92		
			Page 91	
1		INDEX		
2				
3		RULINGS		
4			Page	Line
5	Motion, GRANTED		89	14
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Page 92 CERTIFICATION 1 2 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 3 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Sonya M. deslarshi Hyel 6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 23 24 25 Date: March 21, 2024